UNDERSTANDING TRUMP’S MUSLIM BANS

**Last updated September 11, 2018**

The Muslim bans are a series of discriminatory executive orders and proclamations issued by the Trump administration. President Trump signed the first version, Muslim Ban 1.0, on Jan. 27, 2017, and it became effective that day. Within a day, thousands of people across the country rushed to airports in protest. Significant portions of the ban, as well as later versions of it, were immediately blocked by federal courts, which found each iteration to be blatantly anti-Muslim, unconstitutional, and an abuse of the president’s power. While significant sectors of the American public agree, the U.S. Supreme Court, in a 5-4 opinion issued on June 26, 2018, unfortunately allowed the third iteration of the ban to remain in place permanently. Because of the devastating impact of this decision, which is separating American families and endangering vulnerable populations, we continue to fight the Muslim bans in our courtrooms, Congress, and our communities.

BEYOND THE BAN: ADDITIONAL DISCRIMINATORY IMMIGRATION POLICIES FROM THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION

Despite intense opposition and criticism from the public, lawmakers, and our federal courts, the Trump administration has also pushed forward other discriminatory policies that share the same goal as the Muslim bans and target Muslims and immigrant communities of color.

**Extreme Vetting (or the Backdoor Muslim Ban).** On Mar. 15, 2017, the U.S. secretary of state called for enhanced screening of nationals of the six countries included in Muslim Ban 2.0. On May 23, 2017, the Office of Management and Budget approved discretionary use of “extreme vetting” questions, including inquiries into social media accounts and extensive biographical and travel information from the last 15 years. Impacts of the policy include a dramatic decline in visa applications, further delays in visa issuance to nationals of Muslim-majority countries targeted by the Muslim Bans, and discriminatory practices while issuing visas.

**Ending Temporary Protected Status (TPS) for Sudan and Limiting It for Syrians, Yemenis, and Somalis.** On Sep. 19, 2017, a few days before Sudan was removed from the list of banned countries under Muslim Ban 3.0, the Trump administration announced an end to TPS for Sudan, effective Nov. 2, 2018. Sudanese TPS- holders may be forced to return to a country that is still unstable. Since that announcement, TPS was extended for Syrians (on Jan. 31, 2018), Yemenis (on July 5, 2018), and Somalis (on July 19, 2018), but only for those who had already applied for the program, denying protection to anyone from those countries who arrived more recently, despite the fact that they fled the same dangerous conditions.

**Slashing Legal Immigration and Cutting Diversity in Our Immigration System.** On Feb. 7, 2017, Senators Cotton (R-AK) and Purdue (R-GA) introduced a bill that would cut the availability of green cards by more than half and end our family-based immigration system. If passed, the Reforming American Immigration for Strong Employment (RAISE) Act, would cut current levels of legal immigration by over 50 percent, and eliminate the Diversity Visa Lottery Program, which provides opportunities for countries that send few immigrants — often those with a majority of Muslim and/or Black populations — to apply for a green card. These anti-family, anti-Black proposals are also actively promoted by the White House.

**Slashing Annual Refugee Admissions.** On Sep. 27, 2017, the Trump administration drastically lowered the annual refugee admission cap from 110,000 to 45,000, the lowest cap since 1980; Muslim Ban 4.0 specifically targets countries that account for approximately 80 percent of all Muslim refugees resettled in the U.S. in the past two years. In addition, refugees are being processed at such a slow rate that the program is currently on track to resettle fewer than 50 percent of the new annual cap — reflecting how this administration views the annual cap as a ceiling rather than as a target goal to meet -- and effectively reducing the admission of refugees to a mere trickle. The administration is expected to drastically lower the annual cap even further; there are reports that, for FY 2019, it may be reduced to as few as 25,000 refugees.

*The information provided in this document is a basic summary only and does not constitute legal advice. Every person’s situation is different. For legal advice, please contact an attorney. For more information regarding the Muslim bans, please contact Subha Varadarajan, Muslim Ban Legal and Outreach Fellow, at varadarajan@nile.org. No Muslim Ban Ever is a project of Advancing Justice - Asian Law Caucus, CAIR San Francisco Bay Area, MPower Change, and the National Immigration Law Center. (Prior to this Sep. 11, 2018, edition, this fact sheet was titled “Understanding the Muslim Bans.”)*
## WHAT’S IN EACH VERSION OF THE MUSLIM BAN?

*** Last updated September 11, 2018 ***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ban#</th>
<th>Date Issued</th>
<th>Targeted Populations ¹</th>
<th>Impact on Refugees</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Key Court Actions</th>
<th>Current Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1/27/17</td>
<td>All nationals from Iran, Iraq, Libya, Somalia, Sudan, Syria, and Yemen, and all refugees</td>
<td>Halted entire program</td>
<td>90 days for all nationals (not dual citizens) of targeted countries; 120 days for refugees; indefinite for Syrian refugees</td>
<td>On 2/9/17, the Ninth Circuit held that the ban should be blocked</td>
<td>Revoked Muslim Ban 2.0 was issued on 3/6/2017 and replaced the prior version</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3/6/17</td>
<td>All refugees and nationals from Iran, Libya, Somalia, Sudan, Syria, and Yemen</td>
<td>Halted entire program</td>
<td>90 days for all nationals of targeted countries, 120 days for all refugees</td>
<td>On 6/26/17, the Supreme Court (SCOTUS) allowed part of the ban to go into effect, applying it to those lacking a <em>bona fide relationship</em> to the U.S.²</td>
<td>Expired On 9/24/17, the portion of Muslim Ban 2.0 targeting certain nationals expired, and on 10/24/17, the portion of the ban targeting refugees expired. SCOTUS dismissed as moot the cases challenging the ban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>9/24/17</td>
<td>Most or all nationals from Iran, Libya, North Korea, Somalia, Syria, and Yemen and government officials from Venezuela and their families</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Indefinite</td>
<td>On 12/4/17, SCOTUS allowed the ban to go into full effect until SCOTUS enters a judgment on the case</td>
<td>Permanently in Effect On 6/26/18, SCOTUS allowed this version of the ban to remain in effect indefinitely. On 8/1/18, a class action lawsuit was filed challenging the Muslim ban waiver process ³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>10/24/17</td>
<td>All refugees from Egypt, Iran, Iraq, Libya, Mali, North Korea, Somalia, Sudan, South Sudan, Syria, Yemen and certain stateless individuals</td>
<td>Halted program for targeted populations and extreme vetting measures for all other refugees</td>
<td>90-day ban for all nationals from targeted countries, indefinite ban for follow-to-join relatives</td>
<td>On 7/30/18, the U.S district court denied the government’s motion to dismiss the refugee ban case as moot, and granted the plaintiffs’ discovery</td>
<td>Expired A preliminary injunction was issued to block most of this ban; the court is now deciding whether the government complied with it</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

¹ In theory, waivers may be granted under circumstances enumerated in each executive order or proclamation.

² While this standard no longer applies, it previously was meant to apply to those with a close familial relationship in the U.S or a formal documented relationship with a U.S entity.